

Neumann	Rogers	Spratt
Ney	Rohrabacher	Stabenow
Northup	Rothman	Stark
Norwood	Roukema	Stearns
Nussle	Royce	Stenholm
Obey	Ryun	Strickland
Oxley	Salmon	Stump
Packard	Sanchez	Sununu
Pallone	Sanders	Talent
Pappas	Sandlin	Tanner
Parker	Sanford	Tauscher
Pascrell	Saxton	Tauzin
Paul	Scarborough	Taylor (MS)
Paxon	Schaefer, Dan	Taylor (NC)
Payne	Schaffer, Bob	Thomas
Pease	Schumer	Thompson
Pelosi	Scott	Thornberry
Peterson (MN)	Sensenbrenner	Thune
Peterson (PA)	Sessions	Tiahrt
Petri	Shadegg	Trafficant
Pickering	Shaw	Turner
Pitts	Shays	Upton
Pombo	Sherman	Visclosky
Pomeroy	Shimkus	Walsh
Porter	Shuster	Wamp
Portman	Sisisky	Watkins
Poshard	Skeen	Watts (OK)
Price (NC)	Slaughter	Weldon (FL)
Pryce (OH)	Smith (MI)	Weldon (PA)
Quinn	Smith (NJ)	Weller
Radanovich	Smith (OR)	White
Ramstad	Smith (TX)	Whitfield
Redmond	Smith, Linda	Wicker
Regula	Snowbarger	Wolf
Riggs	Snyder	Woolsey
Riley	Solomon	Young (AK)
Rivers	Souder	Young (FL)
Rogan	Spence	

NAYS—116

Ackerman	Fazio	Millender-
Allen	Filner	McDonald
Andrews	Ford	Mink
Baldacci	Frost	Moakley
Barrett (WI)	Gillmor	Murtha
Becerra	Gordon	Neal
Bentsen	Green	Oberstar
Berman	Hamilton	Olver
Berry	Hastings (FL)	Ortiz
Bishop	Hefner	Owens
Blagojevich	Hilliard	Pastor
Blumenauer	Hinchey	Pickett
Borski	Houghton	Rahall
Boucher	Hoyer	Rangel
Brady (PA)	Jackson-Lee	Rodriguez
Brown (CA)	(TX)	Roemer
Brown (FL)	Jefferson	Roybal-Allard
Brown (OH)	John	Rush
Carson	Johnson, E. B.	Sabo
Clayton	Kanjorski	Sawyer
Clement	Kaptur	Skaggs
Clyburn	Kennedy (MA)	Skelton
Condit	Kilpatrick	Smith, Adam
Conyers	Kim	Stokes
Coyne	Kind (WI)	Stupak
Cramer	Klecza	Thurman
Cummings	Klink	Tierney
Danner	LaFalce	Torres
Davis (FL)	Leach	Towns
Davis (IL)	Lofgren	Velazquez
DeGette	Luther	Vento
Deutscher	Markey	Waters
Dicks	Martinez	Watt (NC)
Dingell	Matsui	Waxman
Dixon	McCarthy (MO)	Wexler
Dooley	McDermott	Weygand
Edwards	McGovern	Wise
Eshoo	Meehan	Wynn
Farr	Meek (FL)	Yates
Fattah		

NOT VOTING—13

Burr	Lewis (GA)	Reyes
Engel	McDade	Ros-Lehtinen
Frank (MA)	Mollohan	Serrano
Furse	Moran (VA)	
Gonzalez	Myrick	

□ 1916

Ms. KILPATRICK, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. OLVER, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. TIERNEY and Mr. MEEHAN changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. MCINNIS, WALSH, MCHUGH, MASCARA and MANTON changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the concurrent resolution just agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SUNUNU). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1614

Mr. SKAGGS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to be removed as a cosponsor from H.R. 1614.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 1150, AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH, EXTENSION, AND EDUCATION REFORM ACT OF 1998

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to previous order of the House, I call up the conference report on the Senate bill (S. 1150) to ensure that federally funded agricultural research, extension, and education address high-priority concerns with national or multistate significance, to reform, extend, and eliminate certain agricultural research programs, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

UNFUNDED MANDATES POINT OF ORDER

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a point of order under section 425 of the Congressional Budget Act regarding unfunded intergovernmental mandates on every single senior citizen homeowner in America.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, this does increase property taxes on senior citizens, and everybody ought to be listening.

Pursuant to section 426 of the Congressional Budget Act, the language on which this point of order is premised is contained in section 502 of the subtitle A of title V, "Reductions in Payments for Administrative Costs for Food Stamps," of the conference report.

(For section 502, see CONGRESSIONAL RECORD of April 22, 1998, page H2185.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York makes a point of order that the conference report violates section 425(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, and according to section 426 (b)(2) of the Act, the gentleman must specify the precise

language of his objection in the conference report on which he predicates this point of order.

Having met this threshold burden, the gentleman from New York (Mr. SOLOMON) and a Member opposed each will control 10 minutes of debate. Pursuant to section 426 (b)(3) of the Act and after debate, the Chair will put the question of consideration, to wit: Will the House now consider the conference report?

Will the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. SMITH) claim the 10 minutes in opposition?

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. Speaker, I am in opposition.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Oregon (Mr. SMITH) will be recognized for 10 minutes in opposition, and the gentleman from New York (Mr. SOLOMON) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I might consume.

I do want the Members to listen up. It is very, very important. We are about to force every single senior citizen homeowner in America to pay more real estate taxes. That is why I raise this point of order against this unfunded mandate.

This conference report would lower each State's reimbursement for administrative costs in the food stamp program by an amount to be determined by the Secretary of Health and Human Services. That provision, my colleagues, according to CBO would limit the Federal Government's responsibility to provide funding to States and local governments to cover the administrative costs of the food stamp program.

Mr. Speaker, the National Governors Association opposes this provision, and almost every single individual governor in America has expressed outright hostility to this reneging on them and putting more costs on our States and our local governments, and that is wrong.

Mr. Speaker, I mentioned CBO had scored this legislation as exceeding the unfunded mandate threshold in the law, which is \$50 million. In fact, those costs on the States are much, much higher, in the hundreds of millions of dollars in administrative costs to our individual States and each one of our counties and cities and towns and villages that we represent. And that is according to the National Governors Association, my colleagues.

Overall, this represents a cost shift from the Federal Government to the States as high in my State of New York as \$280 million, \$280 million, of which local governments are going to have to pay 25 percent of that cost. That is what we are leveling on our senior citizens. What that means, Mr. Speaker, is a "yes" vote for this unfunded mandate is a vote to increase property taxes on every single one of our homeowners that own a home in America.

Mr. Speaker, there are so many families living in my district on fixed incomes that it is almost impossible